

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Δ

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--------------------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/072,826 | 02/05/2002 | Densen Cao | 5061.10 P | 1012 |
| 7590 03/16/2005 | | EXAMINER | | |
| Parsons, Behle & Latimer | | | LEWIS, RALPH A | |
| Sutie 1800 | | | | |
| 201 South Main Street | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| P.O. Box 45898 | | | 3732 | |
| Salt Lake City, | UT 84145-0898 | | DATE MAILED: 03/16/2005 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | i۱ |
|--|--|--|---------------------------------------|
| Advisory Action | 10/072,826 | CAO, DENSEN | ول) |
| Advisory Action | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Ralph A. Lewis | 3732 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 07 March 2005 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | void abandonment of this application to the same of this application and the same of the s | cation. A proper rep ch places the appli | ply to a cation in |
| PERIOD FOR RE | PLY [check either a) or b)] | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). | risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH | f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. | See MPEP |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The da have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in | e fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or | tension fee under (2) as set forth in |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be | ecause: | | |
| (a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furth | er consideration and/or search (| (see NOTE below); | |
| (b) \square they raise the issue of new matter (see Note | below); | | |
| (c) | in better form for appeal by mat | terially reducing or | simplifying the |
| (d) they present additional claims without cance | ling a corresponding number of | finally rejected clai | ms. |
| NOTE: See attachment. | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection | ction(s): | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | l be allowable if submitted in a s | separate, timely file | d amendment |
| 5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: | | sidered but does No | OT place the |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | cause it is not directed SOLELY | to issues which we | ere newly |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w | | | and an |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: 1-7. | | | 4 |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: 8-23. | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app | proved or b) disapproved by | the Examiner. | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme | ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | / | |
| 10: Other: | | H. | |
| Pro 892 - Notice References Cited | is alluched | Primary | A. Lewis Examiner マフズフ |

AU3732

Art Unit: 3732

Advisory Attachment

The "well" limitation added to claims 8, 12 and 18 presents new issues and will not be entered as presently submitted.

The examiner is of the position that the "well" limitation even if added to claims 8 and 12 fails to make those claims allowable. Claims 8 and 12 main relatively broad calling for a "curing light" which simply comprises a heat sink, a light emitting semiconductor and a light reflective device. Osumi et al (US 6,578,989) cited herein discloses a light having an anode 12 which acts as a heat sink inherently drawing heat away from semiconductor chip 11. The anode/heat sink 12 includes a well in which the semi conductor chip 11 is mounted. A reflector 15 is installed at the heat sink location.

A further amendment canceling claims 8-17 and making the current changes to claim 18 would appear to place the application in condition for allowance.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to **Ralph Lewis** at telephone number **(571) 272-4712**. Fax (703) 872-9306. The examiner works a compressed work schedule and is unavailable every other Friday. The examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver, can be reached at (571) 272-4720.

R.Lewis March 11, 2005

> Raiph A. Lewis Primary Examiner